



## न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5<sup>th</sup> Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

**Case No: 14048/1021/2023**

**In the matter of:**

**Complainant:**

Shri Ranjodh Bir Singh

**versus**

**Respondent:**

The Director/Sr. Admin Officer  
Directorate of Civilian Personnel  
Integrated Headquarters,  
Ministry of Defence (Navy) Talkatora Stadium

### **1. Gist of the Proceedings:**

1.1 Shri Ranjodh Bir Singh, a Junior Design Officer in the Ministry of Defence (Navy) with a 52% locomotor disability, filed a complaint on 26.04.2023 alleging denial of promotion under the PwBD quota despite his eligibility and required service, claiming he was unjustly placed last on the DPC list instead of at serial 1 as per the DoPT reservation roster. The Navy responded that Singh was eligible and under consideration for promotion, with his case forwarded to the Ministry of Defence, but clarified that while DoPT guidelines reserve vacancies for specific categories, seniority is determined by feeder-grade rank among those found fit by the DPC, and also noted that Singh had not submitted a formal representation before going to court. No rejoinder was received

from Singh. Subsequently, a notice dated 09.02.2024 was sent to the respondents for action referencing the DoPT OM dated 28.12.2023, but no reply was received, leading to a further hearing notice on 07.04.2025. On 01.08.2025, Singh informed by email that his grievance had been resolved as he was promoted to Senior Design Officer-II (Group "A") under the PwBD category, and requested closure of the case.

## **2. Observations and Recommendations:**

2.1 Upon reviewing the facts of the case and the available records, it is clear that the Complainant's grievance has already been addressed. As such, no further intervention is necessary. Consequently, the case is disposed of with the approval of the Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

**(Praveen Prakash Ambastha)**  
**Dy. Chief Commissioner**