

COURT OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

/ Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)
/ Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment
/ Government of India

Case No. CCPD/15715/1031/24	Dated: 22/04/2025

Case No. 15715/1031/2024

In the matter of —

Ms Alima Anwar ... Complainant

Versus

(1) The Registrar

University of Delhi ... Respondent No.1

(2) The Director

Pt. Deendayal Upadhayaya National Institute for Persons with Physical Disa

Institute for Persons with Physical Disabilities ... Respondent No.2

Hearing (I):

A hearing was conducted on **21.04.2025 in hybrid mode** (offline/online through Video Conferencing). The following parties/representatives were present during the hearing:

Sl. No.	Name & Designation of the parties/ representatives	Mode of appearance
Fron	n Complainant:	
1.	Ms Alima anwar, Complainant	Online
Fron	n Respondent No.1:	
1.	Advocate Parv Garg, Counsel for University of Delhi	Online
Fron	Respondent No.2:	

1.	Kunal Chou in), PDUNI	Online		
2.	Roshan NIPPD	Lal,	Lecturer,	Online

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Both parties were heard.

- 2. No written submission was filed by Respondent No.1. The representative/counsel appearing for Respondent No.1 prayed for some more time to file their written submission. Respondent No. 2 informed that they have not admitted any student with disabilities to the course for which the Complainant has applied. The Complainant submitted that 5% of the seats were not reserved for persons with disabilities in the course of the Master of Physiotherapy in compliance with Section 32 of the RPwD Act, 2016. Despite seats being available and unfilled at the institute of her first choice, i.e. the Respondent No.2, she was allocated a private institute, which is not accessible considering her disability, which has also resulted in the denial of benefits which would have come to her had she were studying in a government institute such as the PDUNIPPD.
- 3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 stated that he was engaged for the case on the day of the hearing and hence requested the Court for some additional time to prepare for the case. It was observed that no reply was received from Respondent No. 1 to the notice issued by this Court on 05.09.2024, attaching therewith the complaint, followed by a reminder dated 25.10.2024. The Court advised the Respondent No. 1 to submit their reply/comments by 23.04.2025, with a copy to the Complainant, who will submit her rejoinder by 25.04.2025 immediately after the receipt of the reply/comments from the Respondent No.1. The Respondent was also informed about the provisions of sections 75, 77, 89, and 93 of the RPwD Act, 2016 with regard to consequences of non furnishing a response sought under the Act.
- 4. The next date of the hearing will be informed later.
- 5. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

Yours faithfully,

(Praveen Prakash Ambashta) Dy. Chief Commissioner

(

1)