



सत्यमेव जयते

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त दिव्यांगजन

COURT OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (DIVYANGJAN)

दिव्यांगजन सशक्तिकरण विभाग/Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan)

सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय/Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment

भारत सरकार/Government of India

5वाँ तल, एन.आई.एस.डी. भवन, जी-2, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075 ; दूरभाष : (011) 20892364

5th Floor, N.I.S.D. Bhawan, G-2, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075; Tel.: (011) 20892364

Email: ccpd@nic.in; Website: www.ccdisabilities.nic.in

Case No. 14232/1024/2023

In the matter of —

Dr. Kurmendra

... Complainant

Versus

The Registrar,

Indira Gandhi National Open University **... Respondent**

Hearing (I):

A hearing was conducted on **16.04.2025 in hybrid mode** (offline/online through video conferencing). The following parties/representatives were present during the hearing:

Sl. No.	Name and Designation of the parties/ representatives	Mode of appearance
From Complainant:		
1.	Dr. Kurmendra, Complainant	Online
From Respondent:		
1.	Mr Ramsong Nongpiur, Assistant Regional Director, Itanagar, IGNOU	Online

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

During the hearing the Complainant reiterated his complaint against Mr Kiron Lonchung, the Coordinator of Study Cente, Itanagar (Arunachal Pradesh) that the Coordinator had falsely without any proof

had intimated to the employer of the Complainant i.e. the Vice Chancellor, Rajiv Gandhi University [RGU], regarding indecorous behaviour of the Complainant; and this act of the Coordinator had torn out his image as a teacher and demolished his reputation in the RGU. On the contrary, the Coordinator and his staff had misbehaved with the Complainant when he had visited the Study Centre to submit assignments of his wife in a personal capacity i.e., a divyang person. The Coordinator had nowhere briefed him about the procedure of the submission of assignments and taking acknowledgements; rather, he used derogatory remarks toward him.

2. In a reply to a question, the Complainant submitted that he had not filed any complaint against the Coordinator before the higher authority of IGNOU. He further replied that he is personally aggrieved in this matter due to the filing of a complaint against him before his employer, the RGU, by the Coordinator.

3. The representative appearing for the Respondent submitted that he had been newly appointed and had just taken charge of the Assistant Regional Director at IGNOU Regional Centre, Itanagar.

4. After hearing the parties, the Court observed that the officer representing the Respondent was not aware of the facts of the case and had appeared in the hearing without the necessary preparation. The Court advised the Complainant to consider filing a separate complaint with the higher authorities of IGNOU regarding the alleged harassment by the Coordinator of the Study Centre, Itanagar and get a response from the IGNOU. If he continues to be aggrieved even after this, he can approach this Court for redressal. Further, the Complainant shall also be free to approach this Court, if he feels harassed subsequent to the filing of his Complaint. The Respondent was also advised to submit to this Court their version in writing.

5. Based on the suggestions made above, the hearing of the case was adjourned by fifteen days and/or till the response is received from the parties, whichever is earlier.

6. The next date of hearing, if required, will be informed later on.

7. This is issued with the approval of the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities.

(Praveen Prakash Ambashta)
Dy. Chief Commissioner