

## IMPORTANT & RELEVANT CASE LAWS

| S NO | TITLE, CITATION                                                                                    |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | Avnish Bajaj v. State,<br>2008 SCC OnLine Del 688                                                  |
| 2    | Syed Asifuddin v. State of Andhra Pradesh,<br>2005 SCC OnLine AP 1100                              |
| 3    | State (NCT of Delhi) v. Navjot Sandhu,<br>(2005) 11 SCC 600                                        |
| 4    | B.N. Firos v. State of Kerala,<br>2006 SCC OnLine Ker 159.                                         |
| 5    | State of Punjab v. Amritsar Beverages Ltd.,<br>(2006) 7 SCC 607.                                   |
| 6    | SMC Pneumatics (India) Pvt. Ltd. v. Jogesh Kwatra<br>(Suit No. 1279/2001, District Court of Delhi) |
| 7    | Rini Johar v. State of M.P.,<br>(2016) 11 SCC 703                                                  |
| 8    | Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal,<br>(2020) 7 SCC 1                          |
| 9    | Google India (P) Ltd. v. Visaka Industries,<br>(2020) 4 SCC 162                                    |
| 10   | Internet & Mobile Assn. of India v. RBI,<br>(2020) 10 SCC 274                                      |
| 11   | Just Rights for Children Alliance v. S. Harish,<br>2024 SCC OnLine SC 2611                         |
| 12   | Anvar P.V. v. P.K. Basheer,<br>(2014) 10 SCC 473                                                   |
| 13   | Sonu v. State of Haryana,<br>(2017) 8 SCC 570                                                      |
| 14   | Grounds of arrest&principles reiterated                                                            |

|    |                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 15 | <b>Mihir Rajesh Shah v. State of Maharashtra,<br/>2025 SCC OnLine SC 2356</b>                                                                                                                               |
| 16 | <b>Vihaan Kumar V. State of Haryana 2025 INSC162</b>                                                                                                                                                        |
| 17 | <b>Kasireddy Upender Reddy v. State of Andhra Pradesh<br/>2025 SCC OnLine SC 1228</b>                                                                                                                       |
| 18 | <b>Dablu Kujur Vs State Of Jharkhand 2024 INSC 197</b><br>The police report should be in the form prescribed by the State Government.                                                                       |
| 19 | <b>Sameer Sandhir v. CBI 2025 INSC 776</b><br>Provision of Sec. 173(5) CrPC {Now 193(6) BNSS} is directory not mandatory.                                                                                   |
| 20 | <b>K. Vadivel vs. K. Shanthi 2024 INSC 746</b><br>Power to order further investigation is a significant power it has to be exercised sparingly and in exceptional cases and to achieve the ends of justice. |
| 21 | <b>MISS 'A' v. State of U.P. 2020 INSC 580</b><br>Copies of the documents referred to in Section 207, 208 CrPC.                                                                                             |
| 22 | <b>CBI v. Kapil Wadhawan (2024) 3 SCC 7</b><br>Filing of charge sheet and default bail.                                                                                                                     |
| 23 | <b>Narayan Yadav V. State of Chhattisgarh 2025 INSC 927</b><br>Confessional FIR. Implication of Section(s) 27 and 8 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872.                                                       |
| 24 | <b>Satender Kumar Antil Cases</b><br>Bail Jurisprudence.                                                                                                                                                    |
| 25 | <b>Munnesh vs State of U.P. 2025 SCC OnLine 1319</b><br>Disclosure of criminal antecedents in bail & anticipatory bail application by accused.                                                              |
| 26 | <b>Dhanraj Aswani vs Amar S. Mulchandani 2024 INSC 669</b><br>Anticipatory Bail.                                                                                                                            |
| 27 | <b>Himanshu Sharma V. State of MP (2024) 4 SCC 222</b><br>considerations for grant of bail and cancellation thereof.                                                                                        |
| 28 | <b>Zeba Khan Vs. State of U.P. 2026 INSC 144</b><br>Mandatory obligation for every bail applicant to completely                                                                                             |

|    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|    | disclose all material particulars, including criminal antecedents, supported by an affidavit.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 29 | <p><b>State Of Uttar Pradesh V. Anurudh &amp; Anr 2026 INSC 47</b></p> <p>Determining a victim's age in a POCSO Act case is a matter for trial and cannot be conclusively decided or mandated through broad investigative directions at the bail stage.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 30 | <p><b>XXX v. State of Kerala, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 114</b></p> <p>Magistrates cannot mechanically order registration of First Information Reports (FIRs) or direct investigations against public servants for acts done in the discharge of their official duties.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 31 | <p><b>Sumit v. State of U.P. &amp; anr. 2026 INSC 145</b></p> <p>Anticipatory bail, once granted, ordinarily continues without a fixed expiry, and the filing of a charge-sheet, taking of cognizance, or issuance of summons does not automatically terminate the protection.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 32 | <p><b>Sandeep Yadav v. Satish, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 474</b></p> <p>A technical defect in the formal framing or signing of charges does not automatically vitiate a criminal trial.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 33 | <p><b>Ashwini Kumar Upadhyay v. Union of India, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 741</b></p> <p>The judiciary cannot create new criminal offences or expand criminal liability through judicial directions.</p> <p>With regard to legislate stricter frameworks against "hate speech" and "rumour-mongering" the Hon'ble Supreme Court observed that the existing framework of substantive criminal law and allied statutes adequately addresses acts promoting enmity, public disorder, or outrage against religious sentiments.</p> |
| 34 | <p><b>Paliniswamy Veeraraja &amp; Ors. v. State of Karnataka 2026 INSC 561</b></p> <p>Police require permission from a Magistrate to conduct further investigation under Section 173(8) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC).</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

|    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|    | <p>While the literal text of Section 173(8) CrPC does not explicitly mandate seeking prior permission, judicial precedent has firmly established that obtaining approval or permission from the concerned Magistrate for further investigation has evolved into a mandatory requirement.</p>                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 35 | <p><b>Anumandala Rajesh Reddy v. State of Karnataka</b><br/> <b>2026 INSC 1039</b></p> <p>Sanction under Section 197 of the CrPC is not required to register an FIR or direct an investigation under Section 156(3) CrPC,</p> <p>A Magistrate's order directing the registration of an First Information Report (FIR) under Section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC) is strictly a pre-cognizance step and does not amount to "taking cognizance".</p> |
| 36 | <p><b>Union of India v. Chidiebere Kingsley Nawchara</b><br/> <b>2026 INSC 870</b></p> <p>Strict nationwide guidelines for granting bail to foreign nationals.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 37 | <p><b>Jaskaran Jeet Singh Deol v. State of Punjab,</b><br/> <b>2026 SCC OnLine SC 1939</b></p> <p>If an accused is released due to a violation of Article 22 safeguards, the police cannot automatically re-arrest them without obtaining prior approval from a competent Magistrate.</p>                                                                                                                                                                             |