



HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION
S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2nd FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017
Website- <https://haryana-rtsc.gov.in/> Telephone: 0172-2711050

HR TSC/File no.169/SM-348-349/1276

Dated: 24.03.2023

To

1. The Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Faridabad, Haryana
2. Sh. Nitish Parmar,
Medical Officer Health, Municipal Corporation,
Faridabad, Haryana
3. Sh. Amit Chaudhary,
Assistant Engineer, Municipal Corporation,
Ballabgarh, Haryana.

Subject: - Final order regarding Suo-moto notice no. HRTSC/File no.169/SM-348-349 dated 06.02.2023.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the order dated 23.03.2023 passed by Sh. T.C. Gupta, Chief Commissioner, Haryana Right to Service Commission, Chandigarh in respect of above case for information and compliance.

BY THE ORDER OF THE HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION AT CHANDIGARH.

Encl: as above.



Sube Khan

(Sube Khan)

Under-Secretary cum Registrar,
Haryana Right to Service Commission
Email: rtsc-hry@gov.in



HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION
S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2nd FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017
Website: www.haryana-rtsc.gov.in Telephone: 0172-2711050

Final Order

(In respect of Suo moto notices no. HRTSC/File no.169/SM348/552 dated 06.02.2023 issued to Sh. Nitish Parmar, Medical Officer Health, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad, Haryana and HRTSC/File no.169/SM349/553 dated 06.02.2023 issued to Sh. Amit Chaudhary, Assistant Engineer, Municipal Corporation, Ballabgarh, Haryana)

1. Sh. Nitish Parmar and Sh. Amit Chaudhary submitted replies dated 14.02.2023 and 10.02.2023 respectively and also appeared for the hearing dated 20.02.2023 through VC. While giving certain other reasons, both the concerned also stated that they were not responsible for the services under question. As the gazette notification for the services under consideration also mentions the concerned ZTO as the Designated Officer for the services under consideration, a letter dated 23.02.23 was written to Commissioner, MCF to clarify the reasons for mentioning the names of these two officers against the delayed cases in the report dated 24.11.22 from the Corporation. A reply dated 02.03.23 received from Commissioner, MCF in turn stated that while it is true that the concerned ZTO is the Designated Officer for the services under consideration, at MCF, this responsibility had been delegated to the two officers in question.

2. The Commission has considered all the facts and submissions of the case. The contradictory replies of Commissioner, MCF and the two officers under question highlights a lack of clarity of responsibilities at MCF. Services that are notified under the Haryana Right to Service Act, 2014 and also being applied through the State's Antyodaya SARAL portal have been categorically ignored altogether, which is highly diplorable. The Corporation should note Commission's displeasure for the same. While the Commission is filing the two suo moto notices in view of this systemic lapse, Commissioner, MCF should issue specific orders with regard to the responsibility of providing these and other service notified under the Haryana Right to Service Act, 2014, within 15 days of issuance of these orders. A copy of the same should be shared with the Commission as well.

-sd-
(Trilok Chand Gupta)
CC, HRTSC

24th March, 2023