



File No. HRTSC/ File no. 121/SM-335/178 / 548

Dated: 06.02.2023

To

1. The Secretary,  
Municipal Council, Gohana .
2. Sh.Brijesh Hooda,  
Municipal Engineer,  
Municipal Council, Gohana, Haryana.

**Subject: -** Final order regarding Suo-moto notice no. HRTSC/ File no. 121/SM-335/178 dated 13.01.2023.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the order dated 03.02.2023 passed by Sh. T.C. Gupta, Chief Commissioner, Haryana Right to Service Commission, Chandigarh in respect of above case for information and compliance, failing which both of you are directed to appear before the Commission on 09.02.2023 at 10.30 am through VC.

**BY THE ORDER OF THE HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Encl: as above.



*Skhan*

(Sube Khan)

Under Secretary-cum-Registrar  
For Haryana Right to Service Commission  
E-mail: [rtsc-hry@gov.in](mailto:rtsc-hry@gov.in)

Endst. No. HRTSC/ File no. 121/SM-217 / 549

Dated: 06.02.2023

A copy of the above is forwarded to

1. The Director, ULB, Haryana for information and compliance.
2. Sh. Ravi Saini (Complainant) for information.

*Skhan*

(Sube Khan)

Under Secretary-cum-Registrar  
For Haryana Right to Service Commission  
E-mail: [rtsc-hry@gov.in](mailto:rtsc-hry@gov.in)



**HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2<sup>nd</sup> FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017**  
**Website: [www.haryana-rtsc.gov.in](http://www.haryana-rtsc.gov.in) Telephone: 0172-2711050**

**FINAL ORDERS**

**(In respect of Suo Moto Notice no. 121/SM 335/178 dated 13.01.23 issued to Sh. Deepak Goyal, the then EO, MC Gohana)**

1. In compliance of Commission's orders dated 15.07.22 (enclosed), an NOC of the land under question had been issued by DTP, Sonipat vide letter dated 01.08.22 (enclosed). The LAO, Rohtak's report stating that the 4 marla land under question had been released under Section 5A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 had been recorded in Commission's orders. The Building Plan of the complainant should have been approved in view of these proceedings. However, vide email dated 30.12.22, the complainant - Sh. Ravi Saini-informed that their Building Plans for the 4 marla land under question had still not been approved and EO, MC Gohana had somehow again sought an NOC from HSVP, Sonipat for the land under question. This seemed unnecessary. Further, the matter was still pending even after almost 6 months post the issuance of Commission's orders. Thus, a suo-moto notice was issued to Sh. Deepak Goyal, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Gohana Haryana, for this lapse on his part and for non-delivery of a service notified under the Haryana Right to Service Act, 2014 to further decide as to why a penalty of ₹ 20,000/- may not be imposed upon him and a compensation of ₹5000 may not be paid to the applicant in this case for his act of Commission. While it came to light that he had been transferred from MC Gohana to MC Nuh on 10.01.23, however, since the lapse happened in his tenure only, he was asked to submit his reply by 27.01.23 and appear before the Commission for a hearing on 02.02.23. A letter dated 19.01.23 was also written to the current EO, MC, Gohana along with Commission's orders dated 15.07.22 to take cognizance accordingly and submit a report to the Commission by 31.01.23.

2. A reply dated 30.01.23 was received from Sh. Deepak Goyal. While stating the points already considered while passing orders dated 15.07.22, his reply stated that the complainant had approached the MC recently only for his Building Plan approval and the Municipal Engineer had mentioned a requirement of road access permission for the case, for which a letter had been written to HSVP, Sonipat. No reply was received from the current EO, MC, Gohana. It turned out that no one had been posted there yet. Thus, Municipal Engineer, MC Gohana, Sh. Brijesh Hooda was telephonically asked to attend the hearing dated 02.02.23 through VC. Sh. Deepak Goyal, Sh. Brijesh Hooda, Sh. Ravi Saini and Sh. Bagchand (Sh. Ravi Saini's father) appeared before the Commission on 02.02.23 through VC. Sh. Deepak Goyal was asked that post Commission's orders dated 15.07.22, once the MC had received an NOC from DTP on 01.08.22 itself, why did the complainant had to visit the MC again for his application. It was Sh. Deepak Goyal's duty to inform the complainant about the requirement of NOC again from HSVP for access permission and provision of utilities like water, sewerage etc. He claimed that he had informed them verbally but did not have any documentary evidence to show for the same. On being questioned regarding the need for NOC, he surprisingly stated that since the