



HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION
S.C.O. No. 38 & 39 (2nd FLOOR), SECTOR 17-A, CHANDIGARH-160017
E-mail: rtsc-hry@gov.in Telephone: 0172-2711050

File No. HRTSC/SM-025/HSVP/3176

Dated: 25.11.2021

From

Meenaxee Raj, HCS
Secretary,
Haryana Right to Service Commission.

To

The Chief Administrator,
Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran,
Plot no. C-3, Sector-6, Panchkula
E-mail: cahsvp01@gmail.com

Subject: Orders of the Commission regarding Booth No.135, Sector- Jail Land, Gurugram.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Order dated 23.11.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Chief Commissioner, Sh. T.C. Gupta, IAS (Retd.), Haryana Right to Service Commission, Chandigarh in the above noted complaint for information and compliance.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Commission, this 25th day of November, 2021.

BY THE ORDER OF THE HARYANA RIGHT TO SERVICE COMMISSION AT CHANDIGARH.



Encl: Copy of order

(Meenaxee Raj)
Secretary

For Haryana Right to Service Commission
E-mail: rtsc-hry@gov.in



With reference to orders dated 28.10.2021, a reply dated 17.11.2021 has been received on behalf of Administrator (HQs), HSVP that the system cancelled the plot on account of Auto Condonation Policy dated 24.05.2018 and the explanation of Sh. K.L Kamboj Superintending (now ADO) has also been enclosed. The assertion made by the Administrator (HQs), HSVP that the plot was cancelled on account of Auto Condonation Policy is wrong because the allottee had deposited the 15 per cent amount in time because of which the Estate Officer as well as Administrator Gurugram had recommended the status of plot to be changed from cancelled to allotted. The Auto Condonation Policy cannot over-rule the statutory provisions of HSVP Regulations as well as the provisions of HSVP Act as per which, after depositing 25% amount, the allotment of plot can be cancelled only after following provisions of Section 17 of the Act. However, even if it is presumed that the allotment of plot was cancelled on account of Auto Condonation Policy, then CA, HSVP had no authority to restore the plot as no such powers are ostensibly vested in him.

In his explanation, Sh. K.L Kamboj has taken various pleas like withdrawal of powers, creation of ID of Superintendent Urban Branch and transfer of various pending cases in this ID, transfer of powers of ID Branch (HQ) to dispose of these matters in PPM, non-deputation of subordinate employee to dispose these matter of in PPM. He has also submitted that this work was allotted to him in addition to his previous work allocation and it was only on 29.07.2021 that his Assistant, namely, Sh. Harjeet Singh was deputed with him to carry out pending works. He has also submitted that there is no provision to forward cases online for approval to Administrator (HQ) or CA, HSVP. Therefore, perforce these cases are to be dealt with manually on physical files. His explanation has been forwarded by the Administrator (HQs), HSVP without any comments i.e. whether he is stating facts or this is only an alibi. The pleas of Shri K.L. Kamboj show systemic failures of HSVP. It has been admitted very clearly that due to no provision for adequate resources, numerous cases were pending for which explanation is required from the Competent Authority of HSVP.

Keeping in mind the above, CA, HSVP is directed to be present on next date of hearing i.e. 29.11.2021 at 10.00 a.m instead of deputing the Administrator (HQs) who is trying to mislead the Commission. He is directed to furnish amended reply, if any in pursuance of the orders dated 28.10.2021 which have already been communicated to CA, HSVP vide letter No. HRTSC/SM-025/2002 dated 02.11.2021.

