

From

Meenaxee Raj, HCS
Secretary,
Haryana Right to Service Commission,

To


Sh. Naresh Kumar Rana,
Junior Engineer,
O/o Estate Officer-II, HSVP, C-1, infocity, Sector-34, Gurugram.

Memo No:- 1925

Dated:- 07-10-2021

Subject:- Copy of Order

Please find enclosed copy of order dated 24.10.2021 passed by Hon'ble Chief Commissioner Haryana Right to Service Commission in pursuance of your appearance in suo moto notice dated 02.10.2021 for information and further necessary action your end.


Meenaxee Raj, HCS
Secretary,

Haryana Right to Service Commission.

This is in continuation of suo moto notice no. 707/CC/HRTSC/2021 dated 23.06.2021 issued to EO, HSVP, Gurugram with directions to furnish the information in respect of plot no. 1507/52 which was delayed by 11 days. Pursuant to the said notice, Smt. Manisha Sharma, HCS appeared in person and furnished her explanation regarding the delay caused by her in delivery of notified services of grant of occupation certificate. During the said hearing, she was asked to supply information to the Commission regarding certain cases informed by her as a part of the said information. The said information was duly supplied by her, based upon which, show cause notices were issued to Smt. Manisha Sharma, HCS & Sh. Vivek Kalia, HCS for 23.08.2021. Both these officers had furnished their respective explanations based upon which, this instant suo moto notice vide letter no. HRTSC/SM-002/HSVP/1673 dated 02.10.2021 was issued vide which EO-II, HSVP, Gurugram was directed to submit the name of Junior Engineer who delayed for issuance of DPC in case of plot no. 3058P/46, Gurugram where against notified period of 5 days, 15 days were taken. EO-II, HSVP, Gurugram has submitted his reply vide his office memo no. 8261 dated 08.10.2021, in which he submitted the name of Sh. Naresh Kumar Rana, Junior Engineer, EO-II, HSVP, Gurugram who issued DPC letter in question. On the date of hearing, he reiterated the contentions of his reply dated 11.10.2021 in which he has admitted delay in issuance of DPC but claimed that it was not intentional because site could not be inspected due to priority of important works assigned by the seniors and shortage of staff. He also mentioned that the date of planned issue mentioned in the system was 3.2.2021 which was approved by him on 6.2.2021 i.e. a delay of only 2 working days. In addition, it was stated by the official that at the time of application, the front wall of the plot was not ready. Therefore, the allottee requested him not to reject the case and carry out the inspection other sometime. He prayed for the notice to be filed as the delay caused was not on account of any lapse by him. The explanation given by the official seems to be satisfactory and is hereby accepted. Resultantly, the suo moto notice issued to him is hereby filed.

