Arms Appen 40.86 अवेश की प्रार्ग आदेश पर 📲 गई कार्रमाई दिवाणी एवं १०/ और वारीस तारीया 23.6.17 Heard the learned counsel for the appellant and learned A.P.P. on the point of admission. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the arms license of the appellant, having license No. 225/2000 and license No. 529/04 for rifle and pistol respectively were suspended by the licensing all pority; the District Magistrate, Siwan vide order contained in memo No. 1454/Arms dt. 01 05 14. He further supported that since then, the appellant repeatedly approached the licensing authority for the revocation of the said license but nothing has been done so far which led togfiling of this appeal belatedly and for condonation of delay, a limitation petition has also been filed. He lastly prayed that this appeal petition be admitted after condoning the delay. The learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the D.M. Siwan, strongly submitted that there is a delay of about two years in preferring the appeal and the explanation furnished by the appellant for condoning of delay is wholly unsatisfactorily. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, it is an admitted fact by the parties that the instant appeal petition has been filed after a gap of about two years and even the reasons explained for such an inordinate delay is no way much convincing and satisfactory. Thus, for the aforesaid reason, I am not inclined to condon the delay of about two years as such the limitation petition is disallowed. In the result, this appeal petition is also rejected Dictated and Corrected Commission Saran Division Commissioner

Saran Division, Ch