आदेश-पत्रक ं (देखें अभितान, धरतक 1941 का नियम 129)

Arms Appeal NO-83/2017

आदेश एव प्रदाधिकारी का हरताक्षर

आवेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के 👊 में दिप्पणी एवं तारीख के साथ

41-1-20

19.06:17

11 124

ः वने धनो सक

Fa. 25 THE 56251

put lip before commissiones 0706.07.2017

Heard the learned counsel for the appellant on the point of admission.

The learned counsel for the appellant states that the instant appeal has been filed against the impugned order passed by D.M. Gopalganj on 05.02.16 in Arms case No. 30/2016. He further submitted that the delay caused in filing this appeal may be condoned after considering the facts mentioned in the limitation petition which has been annexed

with this appeal petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the appellant and on going through the memo of appeal petition, it is seen that the impugned order has been passed way back on 05.02.2016 and the appeal has been filed before this Court on 19.06.17. Obviously there is a delay of more than one year. The appellant counsel's contention is that delay caused in filing the appeal was not deliberate rather the same was caused due to unavoidable circumstances. In fact this plea of the appellant is not acceptable in view of the fact that the appellant applied for obtaining certified copy of the order on 08.05.2017, after a gap of more than one year. This action of the appellant is sufficient to believe that he has got no real need of licence. Had it not been so, he could have acted well in time for obtaining the certified copy of the order so that he-could have preferred appeal under the statutory period before Appellate Court.

Moreover, nothing is mentioned in the limitation petition, nor any reasonable and convincing ground set forth

therein to Condonthe inordinate delay.

For the abovementioned reasons, I am not inclined to admit this appeal. Accordingly, the same is rejected itselfat the admission stage. Dictated and Corrected in

Commissioner, og

Commissioner Saran Division Chapra

Saran Division, Chapra