

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR MINORITY
EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS (NCMEI)**

Case No. 116 of 2023

In the matter of :-

Timpany Steel City School, Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh – 530 044

..... **Petitioner**

V/s

Secretary, Minorities Welfare Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, 3rd Floor, A.P. Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amravati, Andhra Pradesh – 522 503

..... **Respondent**

Present: Mr. Jose Abraham, Advocate for the petitioner
None for the respondent

ORDER

DATED 06.05.2026

Dr. Shahid Akhter, Member, NCMEI

1. This petition has been received on 12.04.2023 by hand through Mr. Jose Abraham, Advocate of the petitioner institution for grant of Minority Status Certificate (in short 'MSC') to Timpany Steel City School, Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh – 530 044.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner filed an affidavit of Mr. Aruldass Gnanamuthu, Chairman of Evangelical Trust Association of South India in support of the averments made in the petition and also to prove that the beneficiaries of the petitioner institution are members of the Christian minority community and stating therein that the petitioner institution shall not deny admission of eligible candidate of the Christian minority community subject to the eligibility of the students and availability of the accommodation in the petitioner institution.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a copy of Unique ID No. : KA/2017/0166753 of the petitioner Company as given by the Niti Aayog Portal NGO Darpan, copy of application dated 18.08.2022 sent to the State Competent Authority alongwith postal receipt and its tracking report, notarized copies of Certificate of Incorporation of Evangelical Trust Association of South India dated 31.07.1972 as a registered company under Companies Act, 1956 (No. 1 of 1956) issued by Registrar of Companies, Mysore, Bangalore, Memorandum of Association and Article of Association and Rules & Regulation alongwith list of founding members of company, Amended Memorandum of Association dated 07.01.2015 alongwith list of present members of company, downloaded copy of affiliation issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE) granting affiliation to the unaided petitioner institution for the period of 01.04.2024 to 31.03.2029 and original resolution of the General Body of the registered company in favour of Mr. Aruldass Gnanamuthu, Chairman of Evangelical Trust Association of South India for obtaining MSC from this Commission.
4. As per the information supplied by the petitioner unaided institution with regard to students strength as on Academic Year 2020-21, it is mentioned that out of total 1402 students, 46 students were from the Muslim minority community, 93 students were from the Christian minority community, 02 students were from the Sikh minority community, 04 students were from the Jain minority community and 1257 students were Hindu. In the year 2021-22, it is mentioned that out of total 1338 students, 46 students were from the Muslim minority community, 77 students were from the Christian minority community, 02 students were from the Sikh minority

community, 01 student was from the Jain minority community and 1212 students were Hindu and in the year 2022-23, it is mentioned that out of total 1373 students, 43 students were from the Muslim minority community, 87 students were from the Christian minority community, 01 student was from the Sikh minority community and 1245 students were Hindu. It is relevant to mention here that during the academic year 2020-21, out of the total 60 teachers, 22 teachers were from the Christian minority community and 38 teachers were Hindu. In the academic year 2021-22, out of the total 60 teachers, 22 teachers were from the Christian minority community and 38 teachers were Hindu and in the academic year 2022-23, out of the total 64 teachers, 22 teachers were from the Christian minority community and 42 teachers were Hindu.

5. It is stated in the petition that on dated 18.08.2022, the petitioner institution has applied to the State Competent Authority for grant of NOC which was received by the State Competent Authority on 26.08.2022 and the said application is still pending before the State Competent Authority. The State Competent Authority has not granted NOC in favour of the petitioner institution till now and also not rejected the said application and not communicated the same to the petitioner. After 90 days from the receipt of the application for grant of NOC, the petitioner has filed this petition for grant of MSC to this Commission straightway as per the provisions of Section 10 and 11(f) of National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions (in short 'NCMEI') Act, 2004.
6. After service of registered notice dated 23.02.2024 and 12.09.2024, respondent has sent reply dated 05.04.2024 by post which was received by the Commission on 25.04.2024. In its reply, respondent has referred G.O. Ms. No. 1, M.W. (M&R) Department, dated 16.01.2004 with regard to the issuance of NOC by the State of Andhra Pradesh. The same Govt. order is dealing with the issuance of MSC and not on NOC under section 10 of the NCMEI Act, 2004, so instant case is a fit case for interference by this Commission. Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sisters of St. Joseph of Cluny Vs. The State of West Bengal (Civil Appeal No. 3945 of 2018) decision dated 18.04.2018 in which Hon'ble Supreme Court has settled the law with regard to the applicability of Section 10 and 11 of the NCMEI Act, 2004 and in view of the said pronouncement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court the stand taken by the respondent will not survive in the eye of law and, therefore, prayed that this Commission may issue MSC to the petitioner institution in the interest of justice and equity.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed application under order VIII rule 9 of CPC read with Section 12(2) of the NCMEI Act, 2004 seeking permission to file rejoinder along with affidavit before the Commission's office on 16.08.2024, notice of which was sent to the respondent. Thereafter in the facts and circumstances of the case, Commission deemed fit and proper that physical inspection report alongwith recommendation may be obtained from the Committee constituted by this Commission for issuance of MSC to the petitioner institution vide order dated 23.01.2025. After service of letter/emails to the physical inspection committee dated 31.01.2025 and reminders dated 07.04.2025, 17.07.2025, 22.09.2025 and 14.11.2025, Physical inspection committee for sending Physical Inspection Report but the members of the said committee failed to send report to the Commission in terms of order dated 12.11.2025. Hence, the case was proceeded ex-parte against members of the said committee. As per census of India report of 2011 Christian minority community in the state of Andhra Pradesh is 1.34%. As per the information supplied by the petitioner institution in the Academic year 2024-25, out of the total 1170 students, 64 students were from the Christian minority community which is 5.47% which is more than the state census of 2011 i.e. 1.34%.
8. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, perused the pleading of the parties, the documents filed by the petitioner and affidavit of Mr. Aruldass Gnanamuthu, Chairman of Evangelical Trust Association of South India.
9. The petitioner institution has applied for grant of minority status certificate on the ground that the same has been established primarily for the benefit of the members of the Christian minority community and is being administered by Evangelical Trust Association of South India which is managed and run by the members of the Christian minority community. The aforesaid averments made in the petition find ample corroboration from the documentary evidence produced on

behalf of the petitioner institution and the affidavit of Mr. Aruldass Gnanamuthu, Chairman of Evangelical Trust Association of South India.

10. Hon'ble Apex Court, various High Courts as well as this Commission in case of Buckley Primary School, Cuttack, Orissa Vs. Government of Orissa (order passed by the Commission in Case No. 1320 of 2009 dated 6.7.2010) have categorically held that the percentage of admission of students from notified minority community in a minority educational institution is not an indicia for determining the minority status of such institution. This Commission has also decided that there must be reasonable strength of students of particular minority community in a minority educational institution. The percentage could be determined based on the actual proportion of specific minority community in the State population as per prevailing census. Population of Christian minority community in the State of Andhra Pradesh as per the census of 2011 is 1.34%.
11. The respondent has not replied properly to the application submitted by the petitioner institution. Respondent has also failed to appreciate the provisions of NCMEI Act, 2004 especially under section 10 of the said Act and filed reply wrongly before this Commission. The Petitioner has not applied for grant of MSC before the State Competent Authority. Petitioner has applied for grant of NOC under section 10 of the NCMEI Act, 2004 before the State competent authority. So the guidelines of the Government of Andhra Pradesh have not applied to the present petitioner institution. In the facts and circumstances of the present case the stand taken by the respondent is not tenable in the eye of law and deserves to be ignored.
12. The petitioner institution has fulfilled all the criteria for grant of MSC. The Competent Authority has failed to consider their application for grant of NOC. To obtain minority status certificate is a constitutional right of minority educational institution. The petitioner institution is established and administered by the members of the Christian minority community. The reply filed by the respondent is clearly against the verdict of Hon'ble Apex Court in the matter of SISTERS OF ST. JOSEPH OF CLUNY V/S THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS (Civil Appeal No. 3945/2018, Judgement dated 18/04/2018), which reads as follows :-

“However, Section 10(1), which was introduced at the same time as Section 11(f) by the Amendment Act of 2006, carves out one facet of the aforesaid power contained in Section 11(f), namely the grant of a no objection certificate to a minority educational institution at its inception. Thus, any person who desires to establish a minority educational institution after the Amendment Act of 2006 came into force, must apply only to the competent authority for the grant of a no objection certificate for the said purpose. It is a little difficult to subscribe to Shri Hedge's argument that the said powers are concurrent. Harmoniously read, all applications, for the establishment of a minority educational institution after the Amendment Act of 2006 must go only to the competent authority set up under the statute. On the other hand, for the declaration of its status a minority educational institution at any state post establishment, the NCMEI would have the power to decide the question and declare such institution's minority status.”
13. As per the provisions of Section 10, Section 12A and Section 12B of the NCMEI Act, 2004, the person who desires to establish minority institution, is to apply to the State Competent Authority for grant of NOC for the said purpose under section 10 of the NCMEI Act, 2004. The Act of 2004 also conferred powers of appeal against the orders of the competent authority of the State to the NCMEI under Section 12A as well as over the authorities that were established by the Central Government or State Government which reject the application for grant of MSC to an educational institution under section 12B of the NCMEI Act, 2004.
14. Looking to the provisions of the NCMEI Act, 2004 and verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Commission has both jurisdiction original as well as

appellate. Any educational institution who desires to establish minority educational institution has two options. Firstly, the institution can apply before an authority established by the Central Government or any State Government, Union Territory as the case may be for grant of MSC to any educational institution and if above authority rejects the application for grant of MSC, the aggrieved person may appeal against such order of the authority to this Commission under section 12B of NCMEI Act, 2004. Secondly, under section 10 of NCMEI Act, 2004 whosoever desires to establish an minority educational institution has to apply the Competent Authority of the State Government for grant of NOC within a period of 90 days from the receipt of the application, if competent authority does not grant NOC or application has been rejected but not communicated to the petitioner it shall be deemed that NOC has been granted and the petitioner can file an application for grant of MSC straightaway to this Commission. Any person aggrieved by the order of refusal to grant NOC by the competent authority may prefer an appeal before this Commission under section 12A of NCMEI Act, 2004.

15. In my opinion educational institution can opt one course, either to file an application for grant of MSC before the State Competent Authority or to file an application for grant of NOC. In the present case petitioner has applied for grant of NOC to the State Competent Authority and the said application is still pending as per the contention of the petitioner institution. The petitioner has not filed any application for grant of MSC before the State Competent Authority, so the GO's as mentioned in the reply of the respondent have no relevance in the present case.
16. I have perused the Amended Memorandum of Association and Article of Association of the petitioner company which was registered before the Registrar of Companies, Bangalore on dated 07.01.2015 which is before the filing of NOC applications U/s 10 of the NCMEI Act, 2004 dated 18.08.2022 and petition for grant of MSC on dated 12.04.2023. So it is clear that the amendment has been done before the application filed before the State Competent Authority U/s 10 of the NCMEI Act, 2004 and also petition for grant of MSC before this Commission.
17. The Amended Memorandum of Association and Article of Association dated 07.01.2015 and all the documents produced by the petitioner institution clearly reflect that the beneficiaries of the petitioner institution are primarily the members of the Christian minority community. In addition, the said facts also stand proved from the documents and affidavit filed by the petitioner. There is no document on record to rebut the documentary evidence produced on behalf of the petitioner institution.
18. Relying on the said un rebutted evidence produced on behalf of the petitioner, we find and hold that Timpany Steel City School, Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh – 530 044 run by Evangelical Trust Association of South India is eligible for grant of minority status on religious basis. The evidence also proves that the said educational institution was established with the main objective of subserving the interests of the Christian minority community.
19. Consequently, Timpany Steel City School, Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh – 530044 is declared as an unaided minority educational institution covered under Article 30 of the Constitution of India within the meaning of Section 2(g) of the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions Act, 2004.”
20. A minority status certificate be issued accordingly.
21. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of in accordance with this order.

Signed, pronounced and published on **Wednesday, 06th**
Day of May, 2026.

DR. SHAHID AKHTER
MEMBER