



State/UT Participant details

Name of the State/UT :

Total Number of Participants :

Sl. No.	Participant Name	Designation	Department
1	Sri B.C.Bhatt	D.C.RD	Rural Development
2	Sri T.S.Anna	J.D.	Planning
3	Sri M.K.Tiwari	D.D.	Panchayati Raj
4	Ms Poonam Pathak	D.P.R.O (HQ)	Panchayati Raj
5	Ms Neetu Phulara	CDPO	WCD
6	Dr M.P.Khali	AD	SIRD
7	Sri Deepak Rawat	State Data Manager	NHM, Health
8	Dr K.N.Balodi	SLMT	Gram Pradhan , Panchayati Raj
9	Sri Om Prakash	Consultant MIS	NHM, Health
10	Sri Ganesh	YF	NIRDPR



Status of Field Experience

1. Whether Committee for collection of PDI data constituted :
2. Number of Gram Panchayats where Pilot study carried out :02
3. Composition of Committee for pilot study: Done with MoPR team

Sl. No.	Members	Included in Committee (Yes/ No)
1	Official of RD & Panchayati Raj Dept of State	DPRO
2	Faculty of SPRC/ SIRD	
3	BDO concerned in whose jurisdiction GP is falling	Yes
4	Statistical Officer at the Block Level	
5	Panchayat Secretary/ PDO of concerned Panchayat	yes
6	Any other relevant Officer/ Official	All line department GP level officer



Process followed for Data Collection

Sl. No.		
1	No. of available LIF Indicators with line department functionaries	486
3	Criteria for selection of GPs for Data collection	Only plain area GPs were selected due to continuous rains
5	Key steps involved in data collection at GP level	
i)	Organizing meeting at GP level	yes
ii)	Whether dept. Wise indicator explained	yes
iv)	Whether data validate at GP office	yes
v)	Whether any meeting has been organized for correct interpretation of indicators with GPs	
vi)	Any other (pls specify) training, handholding provided to data collection team & other stakeholders	



Challenges faced during data validation process at GP level

1. Secretary is in-charge of more than 10 GPs so it will be tough for them to collect all the information
2. Revenue village wise Data available regarding Agriculture and forest with patwari / lekhpal and agriculture department is overlapping. Similarly Data with ASHA and AWW is overlapping
3. Documents where facility wise or revenue village wise data is collected need to be aggregated manually it is a humongous task
4. Many data points need survey which is not available in any document , which requires human resources and financial resources
5. Service area of some functionaries is not according to Revenue village wise or GP wise their data again need to be segregated or aggregated according to GP wise



Suggestions

1. Standardisation of records
2. Meeting with Chief Secretaries to underline importance of PDI
3. LGD Seeding of different portals
4. Joint advisory from different Ministries
5. Mandatory monitoring of development (District, Block, GP , Legislative constituency, Parliamentary constituency wise) through PDI portal
6. Ranking of States and Districts based on PDI report, SIF & DIF should include (bottom up approach)
7. Census app



Institutional Mechanism for Validation

- 1.Compilation of data from all departments**
- 2.Validation of data at Gram Sabha level .**
- 3.Validation of data through designated officials at Block and District level.**
- 5. Publication of the information in public domain**



Plan of Action for Rolling out PDI in the State/UT

- Piloting of PDI at least 5 GPs
- Setting up Steering committee in the chairpersonship of CS and presentation of findings
- State level workshop with all stakeholders
- Finalisation of Indicators
- Organise the workshops at District/Block/Gram Panchayat Level
- Necessary data collection
- Data validation in Gram Sabha during GPDP meetings

THANKS