



# *Presentation by Team Punjab*



## ***Panchayat Development Index (PDI)***



# State/UT Participant details

Name of the State: **PUNJAB**

Total Number of Participants :15

Sl. No.	Participant Name	Designation	Department
1	Jatinder Singh Brar	Joint Director	Rural Development & Panchayats, Punjab
2	Vinod Kumar	BDPO	Rural Development & Panchayats, Punjab
3	Lenin Garg	BDPO	Rural Development & Panchayats, Punjab
4	Sandeep Singh	BDPO	Rural Development & Panchayats, Punjab
5	Pawan Kumar	CDPO	Dept. of Social Security and Women and Child Development
6	Dr. Rupinder Kaur	Deputy Director	Dept. of Health and Family Welfare
7	Gurbinder Singh	SLMT	SIRD, Punjab
8	Gurwinder Singh	SLMT	SIRD, Punjab
9	Ashwani Kumar	SLMT	SIRD, Punjab
10	Sahil Kapila	SLMT	SIRD, Punjab

<b>11</b>	<b>Vishal Kumar</b>	<b>MIS</b>	<b>Department of Education</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Munish Kumar</b>	<b>MIS</b>	<b>Department of Education</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Charanjit Singh</b>	<b>Panchayat Secretary</b>	<b>Rural Development &amp; Panchayats, Punjab</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Harpreet Singh</b>	<b>VDO</b>	<b>Rural Development &amp; Panchayats, Punjab</b>



## Status of Field Experience

1. Whether Committee for collection of PDI data constituted : Yes
2. Number of Gram Panchayats where Pilot study carried out : 5

### *Composition of Committee for pilot study:*

S. No.	Members	Included in Committee (Yes/ No)
1	Official of RD & Panchayati Raj Dept of State	Yes
2	Faculty of SPRC/ SIRD	Yes
3	BDO concerned in whose jurisdiction GP is falling	Yes
4	Statistical Officer at the Block Level	Yes
5	Panchayat Secretary/ PDO of concerned Panchayat	Yes
6	Representative from Block Level Bankers Committee	Yes
7	Women & Child Development Dept Anganwadi Worker	Yes

<b>8</b>	<b>Revenue Dept. Patwari</b>	
<b>9</b>	<b>Police Concerned Circle officer</b>	
<b>10</b>	<b>Rozgar Sewak NREGA</b>	
<b>11</b>	<b>Block Coordinator SRLM</b>	
<b>12</b>	<b>APO MGNREGA</b>	
<b>13</b>	<b>GRS</b>	
<b>14</b>	<b>Agriculture Development Officer (ADO)</b>	
<b>15</b>	<b>ASHA</b>	
<b>16</b>	<b>Forest Guard</b>	
<b>17</b>	<b>Fishery Officer</b>	
<b>18</b>	<b>Primary Teacher/Head Master/Principal</b>	
<b>19</b>	<b>Depot Holder ( Dept. of Food and Civil Supply)</b>	
<b>20</b>	<b>ANM</b>	

***Cont.***

<b>21</b>	<b>J.E (Water Supply and Sanitation)</b>	
<b>22</b>	<b>Police Inspector</b>	
<b>23</b>	<b>Veterinary Doctor</b>	
<b>24</b>	<b>Soil Conservation</b>	



# Process followed for Data Collection

Sl. No.		
1	No. of available LIF Indicators with line department functionaries	Almost 70 % indicators were available. (375)
3	Criteria for selection of GPs for Data collection	Based on Population, Region and proximity aspects.
5	Key steps involved in data collection at GP level	
i)	Organizing meeting at GP level	Yes
ii)	Whether dept. Wise indicator explained	Yes
iv)	Whether data validate at GP office	Yes
v)	Whether any meeting has been organized for correct interpretation of indicators with GPs	Yes

<b>vi)</b>	<b>Any other (pls specify) training, handholding provided to data collection team &amp; other stakeholders</b>	<b>a) Creation of facilitators team (SIRDs, Universities, Research Institutes and NGOs b) CB&amp;T of team c) Geographical Accessibility d) Sampling e) Interview/Interview Schedule f) Translate Questionnaire into regional language g) Collaboration with Line Departments</b>
------------	--	---



# *Methodology*

- ❖ *Field visits*
- ❖ *Data Sources*
- ❖ *Primary Sources such as Panchayat Officials*
- ❖ *Secondary Sources such as Officials records, Portals*
- ❖ *Departmental Collaborations*



# Challenges faced during data validation process at GP level

1. Lack of cooperation by Line departments.
2. Lack of authentic data
3. Indicator - Percentage of currently married women (15-49 years) who use any modern family planning methods ( In theme no.9 Indicator No. 3)

**In this indicator age start should start from 18 years.**

4. Indicator - Percentage of households in poor category as per updated NFSA - Priority Households & AAY category [1] **(In theme no. 1 Double entry of Indicator no. 1).**

# **Challenges faced during data validation process at GP level**

**Without a data sharing policy at the panchayat level- lot of time in explaining the need.**

**Multiple data sources for same set of data**

**Capacity building and handholding support required for some time, till the process**

**As Punjab has data in independent data portals (like Smart Ration Card Scheme) and other govt. websites, need for fixing the source.**

**Reluctant to share data by other departments (Spl. Police Dept.)**



# ***Institutional Mechanism for Validation***

- **Convergence with Line Departments.**
- **Need to have State and district level meetings for designating suitable officers for the validation process.**
- **Need to build capacities of the all the validating officers of all level officers (Nodal Officers).**
- **All line department need to be sensitised.**



## Feedback on PDI Portal

1. As the number of indicators are more, it should allow for part saving and continuing. Theme wise data entry – even for one takes a long time
2. Entry of data on PDI portal requires expertise.
3. Present manpower not sufficient so additional manpower should be deployed.
4. Facilitator –remuneration should be based on number and type of entries.
- 5 PDI portal may be regional language.

# Plan of Action for Rolling out PDI in the State/UT



A state level meeting follow up has been conducted and has made the Principal Secretary,/Commissioner RD&PR – Training as PDI State nodal officer with a G.O.



Steering committee constituted with P&D playing an important role will be convened and the responsibilities for the 9 themes will be fixed on the different departments



Data available at panchayat level at State level through different sources will be auto populated to avoid errors of entry



Block level validation committee will be constituted



*Thank You*