State/UT Participant details

❑ **Name of the State/UT : Ladakh**
❑ **Total Number of Participants : 1**

Sl. No.	Participant Name	Designation	Department
1	Sonam Norbo	ACD	Rural Development Department UT Ladakh
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			

Status of Field Experience

1. Whether Committee for collection of PDI data constituted : Under Process
2. Number of Gram Panchayats where Pilot study carried out : Under Process
3. Composition of Committee for pilot study: Under Process

Sl. No.	Members	Included in Committee (Yes/ No)
1	Official of RD & Panchayati Raj Dept of State	yes
2	Faculty of SPRC/ SIRD	Under Process
3	BDO concerned in whose jurisdiction GP is falling	Yes
4	Statistical Officer at the Block Level	Yes
5	Panchayat Secretary/ PDO of concerned Panchayat	Yes
6	Any other relevant Officer/ Official	Panchayat Inspector

Process followed for Data Collection

Sl. No.		
1	No. of available LIF Indicators with line department functionaries	yes
3	Criteria for selection of GPs for Data collection	yes
5	Key steps involved in data collection at GP level	yes
i)	Organizing meeting at GP level	yes
ii)	Whether dept. Wise indicator explained	yes
iv)	Whether data validate at GP office	yes
v)	Whether any meeting has been organized for correct interpretation of indicators with GPs	yes
vi)	Any other (pls specify) training, handholding provided to data collection team & other stakeholders	-

Challenges faced during data validation process at GP level

1-Problem in the ladakh is unique, as there is only two tire system

2-There is ladakh autonomous hill development concill

3-configuration of data on 9 theme can be collected at panchayat and block level

4-the state data management can be directly linked to block on PDI portal

5-only field level offices of line department can be registered at GP level

6-block level and GP level departments can be linked

7-Workshop and training

Suggestions in respect of Local Indicators & its data Points
(in respect of revision, modification & addition (if any)