

# **State/UT Participant details**

Name of the State/UT : Himachal Pradesh

Total Number of Participants: 11

SI. No.	Participant Name	Designation	Department
1	Vijay Baragta	Dy. Director	Panchayati Raj
2	Pawan Singh	Dy. Director	Statistical Department
3	Hemant Kumar	Principal SCERT	Education
4	Dr Ravinder Dhiman	Core Faculty	SIRD
5	Sanjay Sharma	ARO	Statistical Department
6	Dr. Basheer Khan	Block Development Officer	Rural Development
7	Gaurav Dhiman	Block Development Officer	Rural Development
8	Sparsh Sharma	Block Development Officer	Rural Development
9	Rajeshwar Bhatia	Block Development Officer	Rural Development
10	Ishan Sharma	Consultant	Panchayati Raj
11	Sambhav Ramaul	Consultant	Panchayati Raj



# **Status of Field Experience**

1. Whether Committee for collection of PDI data constituted : Yes

2. Number of Gram Panchayats where Pilot study carried out : 10

3. Composition of Committee for pilot study:

SI. No.	Members	Included in Committee (Yes/ No)
1	Official of RD & Panchayati Raj Dept of State	Υ
2	Faculty of SPRC/ SIRD	Υ
3	BDO concerned in whose jurisdiction GP is falling	Υ
4	Statistical Officer at the Block Level	Υ
5	Panchayat Secretary/ PDO of concerned Panchayat	Υ
6	Any other relevant Officer/ Official	Υ



### **Process followed for Data Collection**

SI. No.		
1	No. of available LIF Indicators with line department functionaries	
3	Criteria for selection of GPs for Data collection	
5	Key steps involved in data collection at GP level	
i)	Organizing meeting at GP level	
ii)	Whether dept. Wise indicator explained	Υ
iv)	Whether data validate at GP office	Υ
v)	Whether any meeting has been organized for correct interpretation of indicators with GPs	Y
vi)	Any other (pls specify) training, handholding provided to data collection team & other stakeholders	_



# Challenges faced during data validation process at GP level

- 1. Explaining the concept of PDI at the grassroot level
- 2. Complexity of data
- 3. Non availability of some data(Numerators) at the Gram Panchayat level
- 4. Default source of data suggested by MoPR in the Xcel Sheet did not correspond with

few indicators in the GP (for 77 Indicators)



# Suggestions in respect of Local Indicators & its data Points (in respect of revision, modification & addition (if any)

- Information from various Line Departments needs to be collated at the state level due to a large number of indicators and their state specific indicators
- Post that Indicators will be revised and suggestions submitted to MoPR



### **Feedback on PDI Portal**

- 1. Entry of data at every step on the PDI portal should be open for suggestion and feedback
- 2. Data should be supplemented to the Gram Panchayat office at every step
- 3. Interlinking of data with every department so that manual entry of data need not be done for all 577 indicators
- 4. Data for many departments is physically maintained and not digitally stored.
- 5. How to interlink interdepartmental data presently?
- 6. Instruction regarding the working of PDI portal and collaboration by various departments to be sent to CS and Secretaries of various states.



#### **Institutional Mechanism for Validation**

Committee consisting of Nodal Officers of various Line Departments at the Block Level



# Plan of Action for Rolling out PDI in the State/UT

- Letter to Line Departments with Annexures of 577 Indicators
- Interdepartmental Meeting at State Level for identification of local data sources and local indicators, mapping of Nodal Officers, identification of facilitators and field officers
- Organizing interdepartmental write-shops on PDI at State, District and Block Level
- Training on PDI in cascading mode and development of IEC material
- Data Collection and Validation as suggested by MoPR
- Implementation of PDI